COMPARATIVE REPORT PRESENTATION EVALUATION Name(s) – Diana Jean Tuquib

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **MARK VALUE** | **ORGANIZATION** | **CONTENT** | **MECHANICS** | **PRESENTATION STYLE** | **REFERENCES** |
| 4 | All parts included, organized appropriate to audience, purpose and time allotment | Substantial content thoroughly supporting the main points with evidence, clear understanding and recommendation for action | Mastery of spelling, grammar, punctuation and sentence structure | Skillful use of a variety of methods to communicate message  effectively, including visuals | Entirely fulfills the established conventions of source referencing using IEEE style |
| 3 | Most parts included, logical and clear, appropriate to audience, purpose and time allotment | Sufficient content that supports the main idea with evidence, but could benefit from additions | Control of grammar, spelling, punctuation and structure; errors are limited | Relatively effective use of a variety of tools to communicate message; few errors | Generally fulfills the established conventions of source referencing, some minor errors |
| 2 | Inconsistent or incomplete organization with little consideration to audience, purpose and time allotment | Some relevant content related to main idea but limited development | Control of grammar, punctuation and spelling is sometimes evident; errors are frequent, but not severe enough to impede communication | Inconsistent use of tools to communicate message; disjointed presentation of ideas or lacking clarity in presentation | Partially fulfills the established conventions of source referencing, frequent errors in citations and/or references |
| 1 | Organization is not discernable; or is inadequate to convey meaning; or is lacking consideration of audience, purpose and time allotment | Sparse content with little relevance or main idea is not developed, no evidence provided to support recommendation | Errors are frequent; errors impede communication | Ineffective or non-existent use of tools to communicate message; presentation limited to information on slides | Does not fulfill the established conventions of source referencing  (if no references, cannot be marked) |
| **SCORE** | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 |

**TOTAL 20/20**

**COMMENTS – You have provided a well-organized presentation that takes into consideration audience, purpose and time allotment. You have provided extensive detail in content and supported all of your information with evidence through correctly formatted citations and references. Your work is free of mechanical errors and you have effectively used a wide range of communication tools. Excellent work!**